

UNITED STATE DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Pat nt and Trademark Offic

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. MQPP-0002

09/491,639

01/27/00

BLUCHER

QM22/1023

Michael K. Jones, Esq. Woodcock Washburn Kurtz Mackiewicz & Nor One Liberty Place - 46th Floor Philadelphia PA 19103

EXAMINER CASTELLANO, S

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3727

DATE MAILED:

10/23/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No.
09/491,639

Applicant(s)

Blucher

Group Art Unit

372.7

	Caste	llano	3727	
—The MAILING DATE of this communication appear	rs on the cover sheet	beneath the c	orrespondence ad	dress
P riod for R ply	2.1		•	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	O EXPIRE 30 day	S_MONTH(8) FROM THE MAIL	ING DATE
 Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a real find period for reply is specified above, such period shall, by default, Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statutions. 	ply within the statutory minimexpire SIX (6) MONTHS fro	mum of thirty (30) om the mailing dat	days will be considered te of this communication	d timely. n .
Status	· .			
☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on		<u> </u>		
☐ This action is FINAL.			•	i i
 Since this application is in condition for allowance except accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 193 			the merits is clos	ed in
Disposition of Claims		•	•	·*
Claim(s) 1-27		is/are	pending in the appli	ication.
Of th above claim(s)	•	is/are	withdrawn from con	sideration.
☐ Claim(s)				
□ Claim(s)		is/are	rejected	•
□ Claim(s)	,	ie/are	objected to	
(Spin(s) 1-27		13/416	bioetto victimatico e	u ataatian
Ualm(s)—		are su require	bject to restriction o	r election
Application Papers				
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	•			
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	- •		d.	•
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objec	ted to by the Examiner.			
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	•			
Pri rity under 35 U.S.C. § 119 (a)-(d)	-			
 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority ur □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED copies of □ received. 	• • • •	• • •		
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Number	er)		•	
☐ received in this national stage application from the Inte	•			
*Certified copies not received:			·•	
Attachment(s)	·			
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper N	o(s)	Interview Sumi	mary, PTO-413	
□ Notice of Reference(s) Cited, PTO-892	•		mal Patent Application	on. PTO-152
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review PTO-94		Other		,

Office Action Summary

U. S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 9-97)

Part of Paper No. ____

Application/Control Number: 09/491,639

Art Unit: 3727

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12, drawn to a combination of a pan liner system, classified in class 220, subclass 495.11.
 - II. Claims 13-19, drawn to a subcombination of a pan liner, classified in class 383, subclass unknown.
 - III. Claims 20-27, drawn to method of making a pan liner, classified in class 493, subclass unknown.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

 Inventions III and (I and II) are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case, the liner can be made by another method such as blow molding rather than folding and closing the bottom end wherein the liner wouldn't have any flat bottom edges only contoured bottom edges.
- Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination isn't required to have a contoured bottom edge constructed to conform to the shape

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 09/491,639

Art Unit: 3727

and size of a pan. The subcombination has separate utility such as use as a bag, by itself, without the pan.

4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Group A: Fig. 8A;

Group B: Fig. 9; and

Group C: Fig. 10.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 appears generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations

Application/Control Number: 09/491,639

Art Unit: 3727

of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

6. A telephone call was made to Mr. Michael Jones on October 18, 2000 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

7. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703)305-3579. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 09/491,639

Art Unit: 3727

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Stephen J. Castellano whose telephone number is (703)-308-1035.

Stephen Castellano Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

October 18, 2000